Summit County Sheriff's Office Corrections Division 1st Audit Follow-up General Report

Prepared For:

Sheriff Steve Barry Audit Committee

Approved by Audit Committee September 4, 2018



Summit County
Internal Audit Department
175 South Main Street
Akron, Ohio 44308

 ${\it Corrections~Division} \\ 1^{st} \ Audit \ Follow-up-General \ Report$

Auditors:

Lisa Skapura, Director; Jon Keenan, Deputy Director; Brandon Schmidt, Senior Auditor; and Mario Warren, Staff Auditor.

Objectives and Methodology:

To determine if management has implemented their management action plans as stated in the previously issued audit reports.

Follow-up audits are not required to be conducted under GAO Yellow Book Standards. Due to the nature of this engagement (e.g., following up on issues noted in the prior audit reports with limited planning/assessment of risk and no new issues identified), this audit follow-up was not conducted in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards.

Scope:

An overview and evaluation of policies, processes, and procedures implemented by the department/agency because of management actions stated in the management action plans during the prior audit process.

Testing Procedures:

The following were the major audit steps performed:

- 1. Review the prior audit final reports to gain an understanding of IAD issues, recommendations, and subsequent management action plans completed by the audited department/agency.
- 2. Review the work papers from the prior audit.
- 3. Review any departmental/agency response documentation provided to IAD with management action plan responses following the prior audit.
- 4. Identify management actions through discussions/interviews with appropriate departmental personnel to gain an understanding of the updates/actions taken.
- 5. Review applicable support to evaluate management actions.
- 6. Determine implementation status of management action plans.
- 7. Complete the audit follow-up report noting the status of previously noted management actions.

Summary:

Of the sixteen (16) issues and the corresponding management action plans noted in the prior audit report which required follow-up action, the Sheriff's Office Corrections Division fully implemented one (1), partially implemented six (6) and did not implement nine (9) management action plans.

Based on the above-noted information, IAD believes the Sheriff's Office Corrections Division has made some progress towards the implementation of their corrective management action plans; however, additional work is needed to fully implement the management action plan as stated in response to the issues identified during the performance general audit. Internal Audit will conduct another follow-up audit to confirm implementation.

Corrections Division
1st Audit Follow-up – General Report

Listed below is a summary of the issues noted in the audit follow-up report and their status. Each issue number is in reference to the previously-issued audit report:

MANAGEMENT ACTION PLANS FULLY IMPLEMENTED

Issue 14 - Upon detail testing of performance evaluations, IAD noted three (3) out of twenty (20) instances where an annual performance evaluation was not performed. Per the Performance Evaluation Policy, performance evaluations shall be administered annually for all employees.

Upon detail testing of personnel files, IAD noted annual performance evaluations were performed in accordance with the Performance Evaluation Policy.

MANAGEMENT ACTION PLANS PARTIALLY IMPLEMENTED

Issue 1 - Upon review of the Summit County Jail Policy and Procedures Manual for completeness and accuracy, IAD noted the manual has not been updated, no sign of review within the last two (2) years, and includes incorrect references to legislation.

Upon review of the Summit County Jail's policies and procedures manuals and discussion with personnel, IAD noted two (2) policies created, however, there was no review or update of additional policies and procedures.

Issue 2 - Upon review of policies and procedures, IAD noted insufficient policies and procedures in the following areas:

- Money counter process at intake
- Debit card process
- ID & Records cash collection process (e.g., collection, cash overage/shortage, reconciliations, etc.)
- Sealing and expunging records
- Non-IT asset inventory
- Geauga and Akron contract monitoring
- Storage of inmate valuables (e.g., safe)

Upon review of the Summit County Jail's policies and procedures manual and discussion with Corrections personnel, IAD noted the Geauga and Akron Contract Monitoring policy was the only updated policy and procedure.

Issue 4 - Upon review of the inactive inmate account balance report, IAD noted 18,441 inactive inmate accounts from 2013 and prior with funds totaling \$171,555.09 that have not been disbursed or forwarded to unclaimed funds.

IAD obtained the unclaimed fund account policy and procedure; however, upon review of the inactive inmate account balance report and discussion with Corrections personnel, IAD noted inactive inmate funds totaling \$118,306.

Issue 9 - Upon detail testing of inmate property, IAD noted the following:

- Eleven (11) of thirty-three (33) instances where the Deputy did not sign off on the property sheet, in accordance with policies and procedures.
- Two (2) of thirty-three (33) instances where the inmate did not sign off on the property sheet, in accordance with policies and procedures.

Corrections Division

1st Audit Follow-up – General Report

• Four (4) out of seventeen (17) instances where items noted in the property bag were not listed on the property sheet, in accordance with policies and procedures.

Upon detail testing of inmate property, IAD noted the following:

- Nine (9) out of twenty (20) instances, or 45%, where the Corrections Officer did not sign-off on the property admission sheet.
- Two (2) out of twenty (20) instances, or 10%, where the inmate did not sign-off on the property admission sheet.

In addition, IAD noted upon review of policies and procedures and discussion with Corrections personnel, IAD noted no updated policies and procedures regarding inmate property.

Issue 10 - Upon detail testing of inmate property releases, IAD noted the following:

- Twenty-five (25) of fifty (50) instances where the property release form could not be located.
- Seventeen (17) of twenty-five (25) instances where the property release form was not signed off by the Deputy, in accordance with policies and procedures.
- Three (3) of twenty-five (25) instances where the property release form was not signed off by the inmate, in accordance with policies and procedures.

Upon detail testing of inmate property release, IAD noted the following:

- Nineteen (19) out of twenty (20) instances, or 95%, where the property release form was not completed
- Thirteen (13) out of fourteen (14) instances, or 93%, where the property release form was not signed by the Corrections Officer.

In addition, upon review of policies and procedures and discussion with Corrections personnel, IAD noted no updated policies and procedures regarding inmate property release.

Issue 13 - Upon review of the IT asset listing, IAD noted that IT assets are not listed with unique identifiers (e.g., serial numbers, asset tags, etc.); therefore, detail testing was unable to be performed.

Upon detail testing of the IT Asset Listing, IAD noted no issues. However, upon review of policies and procedures and discussion with Corrections personnel, IAD noted no updated policies and procedures.

MANAGEMENT ACTION PLANS NOT IMPLEMENTED

Issue 3 - Upon detail testing of cash receipts, IAD noted twenty-six (26) out of sixty-three (63) instances where cash receipts were not deposited timely in accordance with ORC §9.38.

Upon detail testing of cash receipts, IAD noted thirteen (13) out of forty-one (41) instances, or 32%, where cash receipts were not deposited in accordance with ORC §9.38. In addition, upon review of policies and procedures and discussion with Corrections personnel, IAD noted no updated policies and procedures regarding cash receipts.

Issue 5 - Upon discussion with personnel, IAD noted that the inmates do not sign an acknowledgement regarding the receipt of their inmate account balance on a debit card upon release.

Upon detail testing of debit card acknowledgments, IAD noted nineteen (19) out of forty (40) instances, or 48%, where an inmate did not acknowledge receipt of their debit card upon release from the Jail. In addition, upon review of policies and procedures and discussion with Corrections personnel, IAD noted no updated policies and procedures regarding debit card acknowledgment.

Corrections Division
1st Audit Follow-up – General Report

Issue 6 - Upon discussion with personnel, IAD noted the inmate account clerks have the ability to change and delete entries in the Keefe Commissary system. In addition, it was noted that an adjustment report can be generated and reviewed in the Keefe Commissary system; however, it is not.

Upon discussion with Correction personnel, IAD noted a Keefe Commissary adjustment report can be generated; however, it is not. In addition, upon review of policies and procedures and discussion with Corrections personnel, IAD noted no updated policies and procedures regarding review of Keefe Commissary adjustment reports.

Issue 7 - Upon detail testing and discussion with personnel, IAD noted an incident report was not created per Inmate Account policy regarding the discovery of counterfeit monies.

Upon discussion with Corrections personnel, IAD noted no discoveries of counterfeit monies; however, IAD noted a directive was not disseminated.

Issue 8 - Upon observation of the cash receipt process in the intake area, IAD noted the inmate does not sign an acknowledgement form noting the amount of cash deposited into the intake kiosk was accurate.

Upon discussion with Correction personnel, IAD noted an acknowledgment form is not maintained; in addition, policies and procedures were not created regarding acknowledgment forms noting the amount of cash deposited in the intake kiosk.

Issue 11 - Upon discussion with personnel and review of the inmate property disposal process, IAD noted a detailed description of disposed property is not maintained (e.g., similar items grouped together) and the chain of custody is not maintained upon transfer of the inmate's property from the Property Officer to the Deputy who maintains it until disposal; therefore, detail testing was unable to be performed.

Upon review of policies and procedures and discussion with Corrections personnel, IAD noted policies and procedures were not updated regarding the accountability of disposed property; therefore, IAD was unable to perform testing.

Issue 12 - Upon discussion with personnel, IAD noted that a detailed inventory log is not maintained for weapons and contraband confiscated at the jail; therefore, detail testing was unable to be performed.

Upon discussion with Corrections personnel, IAD noted a log is not maintained documenting weapons and contraband confiscated at the Jail. In addition, upon review of policies and procedures and discussion with Correction personnel, IAD noted no updated policies and procedures regarding maintaining and documenting weapons and contraband confiscated at the Jail.

Issue 15 - Upon discussion with personnel, IAD noted the Corrections Division does not submit to County Council a report itemizing the actual cost of keeping and feeding the prisoners and number of meals served to each prisoner during the preceding month by the fifth day of each month and an estimated budget for the cost of operating the jail and feeding its inmates for the ensuing fiscal year on or before June 21st, in accordance with ORC §311.20.

Upon discussion with Corrections personnel, IAD noted that discussions with County Council never occurred regarding compliance with ORC §311.20.

Issue 16 - Upon discussion with personnel, IAD noted that the Summit County Common Pleas Court has not approved the Operational Policy and Procedure Manual, in accordance with ORC §341.02.

Upon discussion with Corrections personnel, IAD noted that the Summit County Common Pleas Court has not approved the Operation Policy and Procedure Manual, in accordance with ORC §341.02.